

Q1.

a)

The likelihood function is

$$L = \frac{1}{\theta^n} \prod_{i=1}^n I(0 \leq X_i \leq \theta)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\theta^n} I(0 \leq \min(X_i), \max(X_i) \leq \theta)$$

$\frac{1}{\theta^n}$ is a decreasing function of θ .

So

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i$$

b)

$$f(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^n}$$

$$\frac{\partial \log f(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{n}{\theta}$$

So

$$I(\theta) = E\left(\frac{n}{\theta}\right)^2 = \frac{n^2}{\theta^2}$$

$$\text{CR bound is } \frac{1}{I(\theta)} = \frac{\theta^2}{n^2}$$

c) let $X_{\max} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i$

Then

$$P(X_{\max} \leq x) = P(X_i \leq x, i=1, 2, \dots, n)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i \leq x)$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & x > \theta \\ \left(\frac{x}{\theta}\right)^n & 0 \leq x \leq \theta \\ 0 & x \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

So

the density of $X_{\max}$ is

$$f_{\max}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{\theta^n} x^{n-1} & 0 \leq x \leq \theta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$E X_{\max} = \int_0^{\theta} x \cdot \frac{n}{\theta^n} x^{n-1} dx$$

$$= \frac{n}{n+1} \theta$$

So

$$E\left(\frac{n+1}{n} X_{\max}\right) = \theta$$

$\frac{n+1}{n} X_{\max}$ is an unbiased estimator of θ

$$E \left(\frac{n+1}{n} X_{\max} \right)^2$$

$$= \left(\frac{n+1}{n} \right)^2 E X_{\max}^2$$

$$= \left(\frac{n+1}{n} \right)^2 \int_0^{\theta} x^2 \cdot \frac{n}{\theta^n} x^{n-1} d\theta$$

$$= \left(\frac{n+1}{n} \right)^2 \frac{n}{\theta^n} \int_0^{\theta} x^{n+1} d\theta$$

$$= \frac{(n+1)^2}{n} \frac{1}{\theta^n} \left. \frac{x^{n+2}}{n+2} \right|_0^{\theta}$$

$$= \frac{(n+1)^2}{n} \frac{1}{\theta^n} \frac{\theta^{n+2}}{n+2}$$

$$= \frac{(n+1)^2}{n(n+2)} \theta^2$$

$$\text{Var} \left(\frac{n+1}{n} X_{\max} \right)$$

$$= E \left(\frac{n+1}{n} X_{\max} \right)^2 - \theta^2$$

$$= \frac{(n+1)^2}{n(n+2)} \theta^2 - \theta^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{n(n+2)} \theta^2$$

This is less than the CR lower bound.

The reason is that the support of X depends on θ . So X is not regular.

d.

$$\begin{aligned} E(2\bar{X}_n) &= 2 E\bar{X}_n = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E X_i \\ &= \frac{2}{n} \cdot n \cdot \frac{\theta}{2} \\ &= \theta \end{aligned}$$

So $2\bar{X}_n$ is also unbiased

$$\text{var}(2\bar{X}_n) = 4 \text{var} \bar{X}_n = \frac{4}{n} \text{var} X_1$$

$$\text{var} X_1 = E X_1^2 - (E X_1)^2$$

$$= \int_0^{\theta} x^2 \cdot \frac{1}{\theta} dx - \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \theta^2 - \frac{1}{4} \theta^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{12} \theta^2$$

So

$$\text{var}(2\bar{X}_n) = \frac{4}{n} \frac{1}{12} \theta^2 = \frac{1}{3n} \theta^2.$$

This is larger than the CR bound and the variance of MLE, in c).

e.

$2\bar{X}_n$ is not the UMVUE

$\frac{n+1}{n} X_{\max}$ is the UMVUE

Note that

$$f(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} I(0 \leq x_{\min}) I(x_{\max} \leq \theta)$$

$$= I(0 \leq x_{\min}) \frac{1}{\theta^n} I(x_{\max} \leq \theta)$$

So $X_{\max}$ is a sufficient statistic, by

using the factorization theorem

So by Lehmann-Scheffé's theorem,

$\frac{n+1}{n} X_{\max}$ is the UMVUE,

2.

a)

The joint density of $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) = \beta^{-(\alpha+1)n} (\Gamma(\alpha+1))^{-n} \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^\alpha \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) \prod_{i=1}^n I_{[0, \infty)}(x_i)$$

Thus by the factorization theorem

$\left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)$ are the joint sufficient statistics for (α, β)

b)

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta)$$

$$= \beta^{-(2+1)n} (\Gamma(2+1))^{-n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^2 \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \\ \prod_{i=1}^n I(0 \leq x_i < \infty)$$

$$= \beta^{-(2+1)n} (\Gamma(2+1))^{-n} \exp \left(2 \log \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \\ \prod_{i=1}^n I(0 \leq x_i < \infty)$$

This is an exponential family.

So $\left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)$ is the complete
statistic.

Thus $\left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)$ is sufficient
and complete.

Therefore, it is minimally sufficient.

3.

a.

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta) = \theta^n \cdot (1-\theta)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$$

$$\log f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta) = n \log \theta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log(1-\theta)$$

$$\frac{\partial \log f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{n}{\theta} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{1-\theta}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta)}{\partial \theta^2} = -\frac{n}{\theta^2} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{(1-\theta)^2}$$

$$I(\theta) = -E \left(\frac{\partial^2 \log f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta)}{\partial \theta^2} \right)$$

$$= E \left(\frac{n}{\theta^2} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{(1-\theta)^2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{n}{\theta^2} + \frac{n E x_i}{(1-\theta)^2}$$

$$E X_i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta (1-\theta)^i \tilde{v} \tilde{v}$$

$$= \theta \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (1-\theta)^i \tilde{v} \tilde{v}$$

$$= \theta (1-\theta) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (1-\theta)^{i+1} \tilde{v} \tilde{v}$$

$$= \theta (1-\theta) \left[\frac{d}{d\theta} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (1-\theta)^{i+1} \right) \right]$$

$$= \theta (1-\theta) \frac{d}{d\theta} \left(-\frac{1}{\theta} \right)$$

$$= \theta (1-\theta) \frac{1}{\theta^2}$$

$$= \frac{1-\theta}{\theta}$$

So

$$I(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta^2} + \frac{n \cdot \frac{1-\theta}{\theta}}{(1-\theta)^2}$$

$$= \frac{n}{\theta^2} + \frac{n}{\theta(1-\theta)} \approx \frac{n}{\theta} \left(\frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{1}{1-\theta} \right)$$

$$= \frac{n}{\theta^2(1-\theta)}$$

So

CR lower bound for θ is $\frac{\theta^2(1-\theta)}{n}$

and

CR lower bound for $1-\theta$ is $\frac{(1-\theta)^2\theta}{n}$

b. By the factorization theorem,

$\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is an sufficient

statistic.

$$E \bar{X}_n = \frac{1-\theta}{\theta}$$

So by Lehmann-Scheffé's theorem,
 $\bar{X}_n$ is the UMVUE for $\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}$

4.

a)

The likelihood function is

$$L(\theta) = \theta^n \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{\theta-1}$$

$$\log L(\theta) = n \log \theta + (\theta-1) \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{n}{\theta} + \sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i) = 0$$

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = - \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i)} = - \frac{1}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i}$$

The MLE of

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta+1} \text{ is } \frac{\hat{\theta}_{MLE}}{1 + \hat{\theta}_{MLE}}$$

b)

$\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is not sufficient for θ .

By the factorization theorem.

$$\prod_{i=1}^n X_i \text{ or } \sum_{i=1}^n \log X_i$$

is sufficient.

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \theta^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n X_i \right)^{\theta-1} \mathbb{I}(0 < X_i < 1, i=1, 2, \dots, n)$$

$$= \theta^n \exp(\theta-1) \sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i) \mathbb{I}(0 < X_i < 1, i=1, \dots, n)$$

So this is an exponential family.

Thus $\sum_{i=1}^n \log X_i$ is a complete statistic.

a) Define $Y = \log X$.

We first derive the density of Y .

$$f_Y(y) = f_X(e^y) \cdot \left| \frac{dx}{dy} \right|$$

$$= \theta e^{(\theta-1)y} e^y$$

$$= \theta e^{\theta y} \quad y \leq 0$$

$$E Y = \int_{-\infty}^0 \theta e^{\theta y} \cdot y \, dy$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^0 y \, d e^{\theta y}$$

$$= y \cdot e^{\theta y} \Big|_{-\infty}^0 - \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\theta y} \, dy$$

$$= - \frac{e^{\theta y}}{\theta} \Big|_{-\infty}^0$$

$$= - \frac{1}{\theta}$$

Thus by Lehmann-Scheffé's theorem,
 $-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i$

is the UMVUE of $\frac{1}{\theta}$.

Let $Z_i = -Y_i$

Then $Z_i \sim \exp(\theta)$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i = \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i \sim \text{Gamma}(n, \theta)$$

$$E \frac{1}{-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i} = n E \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i}$$

$$= n \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{x} \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \theta^n \cdot x^{n-1} e^{-\theta x} dx$$

$$= n \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \theta^n x^{n-2} e^{-\theta x} dx$$

$$= n \frac{\theta \Gamma(n-1)}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-1)} \theta^{n-1} x^{n-2} e^{-\theta x} dx$$

$$= \theta n \cdot \frac{1}{n-1} = \frac{n}{n-1} \theta$$

So by Lehmann-Scheffé's theorem,

$$-\frac{n-1}{n} \frac{1}{\bar{Y}} = -\frac{n-1}{n} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}$$

is the UMVUE of θ

5.

a).

The likelihood function is

$$L(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!}$$

$$= \frac{e^{-n\lambda}}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!} \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$$

$$\log L(\lambda) = -n\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log \lambda - \log \prod_{i=1}^n x_i!$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = -n + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

$$\text{So } \hat{\lambda}_{MLE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \bar{x}$$

MLE for $g(\lambda)$ is $e^{-\bar{x}} (1 + \bar{x})$.

b)

Note that $T(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is the sufficient and complete statistic.

Moreover, let $W_0 = I(X_1 = 0)$

$$W_1 = I(X_1 = 1)$$

Then

$$E W_0 = e^{-\lambda}$$

$$E W_1 = \lambda e^{-\lambda}$$

So by Lehmann-Scheffé's,

$E(W_0 | T)$ is the UMVUE of $e^{-\lambda}$

$E(W_1 | T)$ is the UMVUE of $\lambda e^{-\lambda}$.

$$E(W_0 | T) = P(X_1 = 0 | T)$$

$$= \frac{P(X_1 = 0, T = t)}{P(T = t)}$$

$$= \frac{P(X_1=0) P(X_2+\dots+X_n=t)}{P(X_1+\dots+X_n=t)}$$

$$= \frac{e^{-\lambda} \cdot e^{-(n-1)\lambda} (n-1)^t / t!}{e^{-n\lambda} (n)^t / t!}$$

$$= \frac{(n-1)^t}{n^t}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(W_1 | T) &= \frac{P(X_1=1) P(X_2+\dots+X_n=t)}{P(X_1+\dots+X_n=t)} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda \cdot e^{-(n-1)\lambda} ((n-1)^{t-1} / (t-1)!)}{e^{-n\lambda} (n)^t / t!} \\ &= t \cdot \frac{(n-1)^{t-1}}{n^t} \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\frac{(n-1)^T}{n^T} + T \cdot \frac{(n-1)^{T-1}}{n^T} \text{ is the derivative of } e^{-\lambda} (\lambda + 1)$$